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Abstract

A cell-based \textit{in vitro} test system was set up and evaluated for investigations of effects from inhalable aerosols that might be released during use of consumer products. It included a box for generation of aerosols, which was optimized by computational fluid dynamics simulations, aerosol analysis, and a cell exposure device (P.R.I.T. \textsuperscript{®} ExpoCube \textsuperscript{®}) for air/liquid interface exposure of A549 human lung epithelial cells. The \textit{in vitro} inhalation model was characterized using lactose or sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) or copper-II-sulfate (CuSO\textsubscript{4}) aerosols as negative or positive controls. In a first application, aerosols were generated during use of a commercial hair straightener product, considering a relevant protocol with respect to its use by professional hairdressers. Cellular toxicity and interleukin-8 (IL-8) secretion were analyzed as biological effects of exposure in a worst-case exposure scenario for acute local lung toxicity. Generation of aerosols from controls and the product in comparable particle sizes and efficient aerosol deposition on the cellular surface during exposure enabled dose/response relationships in large dosage ranges. Dose-dependent toxicity was found for the positive controls but not for lactose and different IL-8 responses from SDS, CuSO\textsubscript{4}, and lactose. Aerosols from the hair straightening product induced nonsignificant effects on IL-8 secretion in one exposure situation only, which were comparable with lactose effects in the same range of dosage. In summary, the cell-based \textit{in vitro} testing system was successfully established. It might define a relevant basis to get insight into the toxicology of inhalable aerosols with workplace and daily-life relevance using an alternative testing method under highly controlled experimental conditions.
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Introduction

Gases, vapors, and aerosols might be released during use of consumer products. The multiple constituents of resulting mixtures are expected to exhibit individual toxicological properties. Moreover, especially in case of aerosols, the exact analysis of the complex airborne gas and droplet or particle matrix is nearly impossible due to kinetical changes, number of compounds, or physicochemical behavior of vapors and particles. Nevertheless, such atmospheres might have a toxicological relevance due to environmental presence in daily life or at workplaces. Hence, toxicological characterization is needed. However, there are several obstacles in the respective safety assessments. First of all, many compounds of the complex atmosphere might not be known. Then, inhalation toxicology data are lacking, especially for chemical “intermediates” during generation. Moreover, the combinatory effects of substances in complex matrices cannot be derived from...
data of the single compounds. Finally, behavior and characteristics of particles cannot be predicted in such a complex system. In addition, through evaporation, condensation, or surface adsorption effects, particles might also play important roles in transporting compounds into the deeper lung, those compounds would never have reached individually due to their physicochemical properties (e.g., water solubility). Thus, the presence of particles might also change the toxicological behavior of a complex mixture, especially if they are composed of volatile or low-volatile compounds.

Classical approaches to study such situations may include animal inhalation studies. However, aside from the fact that animal experimentation focused on individual products might lead to an unacceptable amount of studies, it is prohibited by EU regulation for some industries such as the cosmetic industry. Moreover, availability, amount, and characterization of the test aerosols needed for such inhalation studies would also be limiting. Cell-based in vitro methods using ex vivo or in vitro models of the human lung have been applied during the last years to gain insight into toxicological relevant biological effects during inhalation. Regarding complex airborne mixtures, smokes or exhausts have been studied such as diesel exhausts or cigarette smoke. Also, mixtures from volatile organic compounds have been evaluated as well as nanoparticles also focused on environmental relevance.

The aim of the present study was to establish a comprehensive in vitro inhalation model, enabling the testing of gases, vapors, or aerosols, which might be released during use of a professional hair straightening product or other related consumer products. The following components were of main importance for such an experimental concept:

1. Handling of the consumer product using a relevant procedure and sampling released vapors, gases, or aerosols.
2. Basic analytical characterization of gas phase and particulates.
3. In situ exposure of in vitro or ex vivo human lung tissue or cells in a relevant exposure scenario.
4. A basic concept for evaluation of testing results by use of relevant positive/negative controls, which have been applied under the same testing regimen.
5. Establishment of routine applicability.

As a first application, aerosols released during the application of a hair straightener product were subject to an explorative testing. Hair-straightening products are in use by professional hairdressers and thus have a high implication on workplace hygiene. During use, hair straighteners are applied onto the washed hair of the client in a defined procedure, resulting in treating the product on the client’s hair at high temperatures (230°C) by using a flat iron. During this procedure, water and volatile compounds from hair and product evaporate, might undergo chemical reactions, and condense in the environmental air, resulting in a complex aerosol containing a number of substances in the gas and particulate phase. Semi- and low-volatile compounds might be balanced between particulates and gas phase. These aerosols are subject to inhalation by the professional hairdresser and his or her client and reflect the demand for testing aerosols from product use as described above. Main compounds of the aerosols from hair straightener treatment are known, such as aldehydes, acetone, and siloxanes. Their safety might be assessed based on chemical data base information. Actually, especially the release of formaldehyde is under discussion as one toxic aerosol compound. However, there is no knowledge about combinatorial effects of the complex aerosol mixture or particle composition. Thus, a “nontarget” driven approach characterizing toxicological behavior on human lung tissue or cells might be a step forward to gain insight into the potential hazard that might arise during application of such products.

With respect to this application, the main five issues for the experimental concept as depicted above would translate into the following:

1. Use of real strands of hair and application of the product according to a protocol relevant for professional use.
2. Characterization of the aerosol with respect to known constituents.
3. Exposure of human lung cells in an air/liquid interface (ALI) culture to generate an unhindered contact of the test aerosol and the cells in an optimized exposure setting and determination of cellular parameters related to cellular toxicity and irritation.
4. Setup of positive/negative substances, including the following:
   - Generation and applicability in the same exposure setup.
   - Particle sizes comparable to test aerosol particle sizes.
   - Relevance of the compounds for cosmetic products (as far as possible).
   - Available data for safety assessment (as far as possible).
5. Enabling routine applicability with respect to the general setup and use of a commercially available cell line.

The objective of the present study was then to realize an in vitro inhalation model on the basis of the general requirements as above in an exemplary application for the use of a hair straightener product in a worst-case scenario for acute local lung toxicity.

Materials and Methods

Control substances

Copper-II-sulfate (CuSO₄) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) were purchased from Carl Roth (>99%, CP86.1 and >99.5%, 2326.1). Lactose was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (>99%, L3750).

Computational fluid dynamics simulations

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) studies were conducted using the software package ANSYS Fluent® (ANSYS, Inc.) to evaluate the behavior of flows and particles from aerosol generation. The simulation models comprised a solution procedure for the Navier–Stokes equations with a two-equation turbulence model for the airflow and a Lagrangian frame of reference for particle transport. The particles were treated as non-rotating spheres, and the dynamic behavior was determined by the drag forces from the fluid flow, gravity, inertia forces, and thermophoresis. Due to the small portion of the aerosols in the flow, the impact of the particles on the carrier flow could be neglected. Because of the size of particles, noncontinuum effects such as Brownian motion and a Stokes-Cunningham
particle drag law (slip correction) were taken into account. For the case with a rotating fan, a moving reference frame with a frozen rotor approach was applied.18

**Cell culture, exposure, and endpoints**

A549 cells (ATCC; LGC Promochem) were routinely taken from a stock pool and grown in 75-cm² flasks (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium [Seromed, Berlin, Germany], 10% fetal calf serum, and antibiotics). Cells were passaged every 3–4 days. During a cell passage, cells were seeded on microporous membranes (0.4 μm, 1 cm²; BD Falcon). Cells were cultivated on membranes to confluency as inspected by light microscopy. Serum was removed 18 hours before exposure and residual liquid from the apical side of cell monolayers before the exposure. A549 cells were exposed for 60 minutes to test and control substances under ALI conditions using the P.R.I.T. ExpoCube17 at exposure flows of 3 mL/min per culture at 35°C (cells) and 50°C (aerosol). Under these conditions, a thermal gradient is created inside the ExpoCube enhancing particle deposition from aerosols during cell exposure by thermophoretic forces.19 Directly after or 24 hours after start of treatment of cells, 10% WST-1 solution (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) was added per well. After 60 minutes of incubation at 37°C, 100 μL of the supernatant was transferred into a 96-well plate and measured with a SpectraMax360 plate reader (Molecular Devices) at 450 nm. For interleukin-8 (IL-8) analysis, medium samples were taken 24 hours after cell exposure from the basal side. Cells were kept under ALI conditions after exposure. IL-8 levels were determined with a human IL-8 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (R&D Systems, Abingdon, United Kingdom). All procedures were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Aerosol generation from treatment of hair using a commercial hair straightener product**

Strands of natural hair (~2 g; KAO Germany GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) were washed with 1 g of Texapon (5% in water; BASF) for 1 minute and dried at 90°C using a flat iron (Voss Ultimate). One gram Kerasilk KT (KAO Germany GmbH) was applied. The final composition of Kerasilk according to the international nomenclature cosmetic ingredient declaration was (w/w) 1%–4.99% water, 0.1%–0.99% glyoxylic acid, and <0.1% of the components cetyl alcohol, propylene glycol, amodimethicone, fragrance, dehydroxanthen gum, behentrimonium chloride, sodium hydroxide, phenoxyethanol, dipropylene glycol, quaternium-91, isopropyl myristate, cetrimonium methosulfate, trideceth-12, cetrimonium chloride, ethylhexylglycerin, laurdimonium hydroxypropyl hydrolyzed keratin, citric acid, alcohol denat., hydrolyzed silk, yellow 5/C.I. 19140. It was allowed to act on the hair for 15 minutes at room temperature. Strands were predried at 90°C, dragging the hair 15 times through the flat iron. For aerosol generation, single treated strands of hair were dragged through a flat iron at 230°C inside the aerosol box 10 times within about 30 seconds.

**Aerosol characterization and chemical analysis**

Scattering light photometry was carried out using a custom-made photometer (Fraunhofer ITEM) and data were transferred to Excel for data analysis. Particle size distributions were analyzed using a wide range aerosol spectrometer (Mini-WRAS 1371; GRIMM Aerosol Technik) shortly after the generation procedure (5 minutes) and before the end of the exposure time (55 minutes). Mass-based size distributions in the range of 253–21,000 nm were transferred to Excel for data analysis. 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) cartridges (Supelco No. 21926-U) were used to qualitatively derivatize the carbonyl functionality moiety. Two samples were taken during cell exposure (whole exposure period, prefilter [Pallflex 25 mm, 0.2 L/min], half time of the experiment [1 L/min without prefilter]). The filter was weighed to determine aerosol concentrations. Aldehydes and ketones on the filter were derivatized with 1.5 mL DNPH-solution at pH 1. Following elution with 5 mL acetonitrile, 20 μL of each sample was injected directly into the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system. LC/ultraviolet-visible conditions: Waters alliance 2695; Waters DAD 996 detection at 355 nm; column Merck Lichrocart 250×4 mm, 5 μm particle, solvent A: water; solvent B: methanol; gradient 65% B 0–4 minutes 95% B 18 minutes.

**Particle deposition from aerosols**

SDS aerosols were generated inside the aerosol box from SDS solutions in water (Aeroneb; Aerogen) containing 0.1% sodium fluorescein and dried to dry particle aerosols. Empty microporous membranes were exposed exactly under the conditions of cell exposures and deposited SDS/Na-fluorescein on cellular membranes was quantified by fluorescence analysis against a standard solution using a microplate fluorescence reader (Gemini; Molecular Devices) at the wavelengths exc. 485 nm/em. 525 nm. Deposition rates were calculated using aerosol concentration data derived from concurrently gravimetric analysis of filters.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using OriginPro 2017 Software (OriginLab Corporation) and Statistica 13.3 software (TIBCO software). Results from cell exposures and viability or IL-8 data were analyzed using Levene’s test on homogeneity of variance, one-way analysis of variance, and a Kruskal–Wallis test. p-Values <0.5 were considered significant. Dose/response relationships (WST-1, IL-8) were fitted using a best-fit method with 95% upper and lower confidence intervals.

**Results**

**Optimized aerosol box for generation and sampling of test aerosols (Aerosol Extraction Box)**

CFD analysis showed that a common cubic box would lead to a significant loss of larger particles as demonstrated by the trajectories of 4 μm particles (respiratory fraction) in Figure 1a.20 Using CFD simulations, several factors (flows, geometry, stirring, temperature) were considered to improve this situation. As a result, a box with a trapezoid shape, a propeller, and a range of applicable flows was constructed. Figure 1b shows the relative extraction rates during sampling of aerosol particles under two conditions (original and optimized) from the box. Despite a still higher yield for smaller particles, it documents a much more equilibrated sampling from the optimized Aerosol Extraction Box (AE-Box) regarding inhalation relevant particle sizes between 0.05 and 10 μm.
Experimental setup

The final experimental setup included the AE-Box with closable openings for manual treatments inside (Fig. 2) connected to clean air (40% rH/22°C) at environmental pressure. TENAX filter and DNPH samples were taken off-line. Cell exposure was conducted using the P.R.I.T. ExpoCube using thermophoresis conditions. Using this mode of operation, a thermal gradient of 15°C was applied between the test aerosol and cellular surface to facilitate particle deposition from the aerosols onto the cellular surface. The test aerosol was conducted from the AE-Box through a light scattering photometer and through the ExpoCube toward the particle spectrometer for particle size distribution measurements.

Selection of positive and negative compounds

Lactose, CuSO₄, and SDS were chosen as negative and positive controls, respectively. Lactose is largely used in the food and pharmaceutical industry as a carrier or filling, also with a relevance for inhalable drugs. It is not harmful and no adverse effects are known despite suffering from lactose intolerance in individuals.¹⁴

CuSO₄ is used as a herbicide, fungicide, and pesticide and has other applications based on color, antiseptic properties, or hygroscopicity (paints, dyes, analytical chemistry). It is classified as irritant in humans and is harmful on inhalation or ingestion.²¹–²⁵ Epidemiological knowledge on inhalation exposure of men from CuSO₄ is based on its use in vineyard spraying.²⁵–²⁷ Known effects include severe eye irritation, irritation of respiratory tract, severe irritation of mucous membranes, congestion, and lung inflammation, as well as degenerative changes in the lung.²⁸–³⁰ SDS has applications in household products for cleaning and hygiene based on its

FIG. 1. (a) Design of AE-Box before (left) and after (right) CFD optimization with trajectories of 4.0 μM particles as representatives for inhalable aerosols.¹⁷ (b) Relative particle extraction rates from the AE-Box according to CFD analysis before (original box) and after (optimized box) modification. AE-Box, Aerosol Extraction Box; CFD, computational fluid dynamics.

FIG. 2. Experimental setup, including AE-Box, scattering light photometer, P.R.I.T.® ExpoCube®, cell exposure device, and particle spectrometer. Exposures were carried out under thermophoretic conditions inside the ExpoCube by application of a thermal gradient (15°C) between aerosol and cells, enhancing the particle deposition on the surface of the A549 ALI cultures. ALI, air/liquid interface.
amphiphilic properties. It is also in use in cosmetic products. It is harmful on ingestion and causes serious eye, skin, and respiratory irritation.31

Aerosol generation from positive/negative compounds

The generation and exposure process included nebulization of aqueous test compound solutions and drying of the droplet aerosol inside the aerosol box. By variation of compound concentrations and the aerosol generation rate, mean particle sizes and aerosol concentrations could be adjusted independently. Resulting particle sizes are shown in Table 3.

Cell exposure controls

The exposure process using the P.R.I.T. ExpoCube includes concurrent exposure of three groups in the same multiwell plate.17 A nonexposure group is maintained under the same conditions as the other groups (temperature, transport of the plate, etc.) but without exposure airflow. A clean air exposure control is exposed to clean air only and the test aerosol group is exposed toward the test aerosol at the same conditions (flows, temperature) as the clean air exposure control. “Empty box” control exposures were conducted from the empty AE-Box simulating the aerosol generation process to capture possible adverse effects.

Viability data from nonexposure cells after a 1-hour experimental period are shown in Figure 3a. They demonstrate constant values for secretions of IL-8 (mean 174.69 pg/mL) and optical density (O.D.) readings from the WST-1 assay (mean 0.948 O.D.) throughout the experimental period of 125 single experiments. Figure 3b represents correspondent results from clean air exposure controls. The validity level17 of 70% with respect to viability was met without exception (mean of 94.08%) throughout the experimental period. IL-8 secretions were also constant over the whole experimental period with a mean level at 153% of nonexposure controls, displaying a typical increase induced only by the flow of clean air over the surface of ALI cells (unpublished data).

Results from empty box control exposures are shown as a part in Figures 6 and 7 and were comparable to clean air control exposures.

Cell exposures toward control substances

Viability data from dry particle lactose aerosols (5–948 µg/m³) (Fig. 4a) were comparable to clean air exposure controls up to a concentration of 200 mg/m³ with a slight, non-significant indication of toxicity (WST-1) at highest concentrations. In contrast to this, exposures toward lactose induced a moderate concentration-dependent increase of IL-8 secretion at 100 mg/m³ and higher (Fig. 4b).

Exposure to dry particle aerosols from SDS (0.17–700 mg/m³) (Fig. 4b) resulted in a highly reproducible dose-dependent toxic response starting at 30 mg/m³. At lowest SDS concentrations tested (0.17–1.75 mg/m³), a 10% reduction of viability was also observed. IL-8 secretions were increased in comparison to clean air controls starting at the lowest aerosol concentrations tested (0.15 mg/m³, 175% of exposure control) (Fig. 4b). The increase of IL-8 secretions turned into concentration-dependent decreases at toxic SDS concentrations (≥260 mg/m³).

Viabilities of A549 cells were decreased dose dependently following CuSO₄ dry particle aerosol exposures at 30 mg/m³ and higher (Fig. 4e). IL-8 secretions increased concentration dependently (≥10 mg/m³, Fig. 4f). This increase remained also present at concentrations inducing strong cellular toxicity (≥100 mg/m³).

Aerosol generation from treatment of hair using a commercial hair straightener product

The procedure developed for generation of aerosols from a commercial hair straightener product considered the real use of the product (Fig. 5) with natural strands of hair according to the manufacturer’s advice for application, including prewashing, predrying, and use of original flat irons at the recommended temperatures (90°C predrying, 230°C treatment). One, 5, or 10 treatments of single strands of hair were conducted inside the AE-Box sequentially during one cell exposure experiment.

Characterization of aerosol from a commercial hair straightener product

Glyoxylic acid, formaldehyde, and particle characteristics were analyzed from aerosols after hair treatments. Results of

FIG. 3. (a) Chronological plot of data from nonexposure controls over the time of study. Mean ± SD for WST-1 data was 0.948 O.D. ±0.106 (n = 107), mean ± SD for IL-8 data was 174.69 ± 53.53 pg/mL. (n = 107). (b) Chronological plot of data from clean air controls over the time of study (percent age of nonexposure controls). Mean ± SD for WST-1 data was 94.08% ± 7.24% (n = 107), mean ± SD for IL-8 data was 153.03% ± 35.81% (n = 107). IL-8, interleukin-8; O.D., optical density; SD, standard deviation.
FIG. 4. Viability and IL-8 secretion data from A549 cells after exposure to varying dry aerosol concentrations of control substances. Dots represent results from independent single-exposure experiments. Fitting was applied according to a best-fit method with 95% confidence intervals. (a) Lactose aerosols, viability; (b) lactose aerosols, IL-8 secretion; (c) SDS aerosols, viability; (d) SDS aerosols, IL-8 secretion; (e) copper sulfate, viability; (f) copper sulfate, IL-8 secretion. SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.

FIG. 5. Resulting testing procedure for a consumer relevant generation of aerosols from hair straightener and concurrent ALI exposure of human lung cells to native aerosols.
the aerosol analysis are shown in Table 1. Glyoxylic acid, formaldehyde, and particles increased with the amount of sequential treatments and reached 31 mg/m³ glyoxylic acid, 13 mg/m³ formaldehyde, and 192 mg/m³ particles at highest concentrations. Whereas concentrations increased about a factor of 5 from single hair treatments to sequential treatment of 5 hairs in a row, they did not quantitatively increase with a factor of 2 from 5 to 10 hair treatments due to high concentration effects (coagulation, loss of large particles). Ten hair treatments resulted therefore in the highest applicable aerosol concentrations in this experimental setup, according to a “worst-case scenario.” Particle size distributions as analyzed using the Grimm MiniWRAS monitor are shown in Table 3. Mean particle sizes were below 1 μm and were covered by the particle sizes of the positive and negative controls.

Cell exposures toward aerosol released from a hair straightener product

A549 cells were exposed during 60 minutes toward aerosols from hair treatments. Following this, they were analyzed 24 hours after start of the treatment with respect to viability (tetrazolium salt assay WST-1) and secretion of IL-8 into the culture medium (ELISA). After exposure to aerosols from single hair treatments, cell viability was also analyzed directly after end of the exposure (0 hour). Figure 6 shows the results in comparison to control exposures from the empty exposure box. Exposures using single hair treatments did not result in different viabilities or IL-8 secretions in comparison to empty box directly after exposures (0 hour) or 24 hours later (24 hours). Exposures to aerosols from 5 or 10 hair treatments induced a slight, not statistically significant, reduction of cellular viability to 90% of empty box exposures. IL-8 secretions from cells after exposure toward aerosols from hair treatments were comparable with IL-8 secretions from empty box exposures for 1 hair treatment and...
for 10 hair treatments and slightly but not statistically significantly induced for 5 hair treatments.

**Comparison of exposure effects from control substances and aerosol from hair treatments based on aerosol concentration**

Figure 7 compares results from cell exposures to aerosols from hair treatments with results from negative (Fig. 7a, b) and positive substances (Fig. 7c, d) on a concentration-based dose metric. Figure 7b displays that the slight increase of IL-8 secretion after exposure to aerosols from five hair treatments was congruent with the induction of IL-8 after exposure to lactose in the same concentration range (100–150 mg/m³). Figure 7c documents that cellular viabilities after exposures to positive controls were significantly reduced at concentrations comparable to highest aerosol concentrations from hair treatments where the respective cell viabilities did not show impairment (100–200 mg/m³). After exposure to positive controls, IL-8 secretion induced in concentration ranges was also applied with aerosols from hair treatments (30–200 mg/m³) where the respective cell exposures to aerosols from hair treatments did not or only very slightly induce IL-8 secretion (Fig. 7d).

**Particle deposition**

Particle deposition experiments were carried out using dry particle SDS aerosols. Deposition rates were 29.43% for the smallest particle size (446 nm) and 43.00% resp. 47.78% for the larger particles (923 or 1707 nm) (Table 2). The variability of particle deposition with respect to distribution of particles over the four concurrent technical replicates in the P.R.I.T. ExpoCube was smaller than 10% throughout all experiments. Also, the relative distribution of particles over the four technical replicates was homogeneous. These results were compared with historical results from our laboratory using the ExpoCube in similar experimental setups with different kinds of dry particle aerosols (Fig. 8). The present results were in good agreement with these data. A cubic fitting was applied using all these data and is also depicted in Figure 8 with upper and lower confidence limits (95%). This fitting was used to calculate the relevant deposition rate for test aerosols such as the aerosol from hair treatments on the basis of mean particle size (Table 3).

**Comparison of exposure effects from control substances and aerosol from hair treatments based on cellular surface loads**

Results from particle deposition experiments (Tables 2 and 3 and Fig. 8) and concentration-based dose/response relationships (Fig. 7) allowed the comparison of exposure effects from control substances and aerosol from hair treatments based on the cellular surface load (Fig. 9). Due to an only very small dependency of the particle deposition rate from the particle size as evaluated by particle deposition experiments and validated by historical values (Fig. 8), the relative dose/response between the different test items does not differ significantly from dose/response curves based on aerosol concentrations (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

An *in vitro* test system was developed for aerosols that might be produced during use of consumer products. As a first example, a hair care product was chosen, which is used as a hair straightener by professional hairdressers. During use, the product is applied on the hair of the client and aerosol is generated in a considerable amount during the

### Table 1. Results of Chemical Analysis of Aerosol from Hair Straightener Use After Application of 1, 5, or 10 Hair Treatment Inside the Aerosol Exposure Box

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>One treatment</th>
<th>Five treatments</th>
<th>Ten treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (µg/216 L)</td>
<td>Mean (µg/216 L)</td>
<td>Mean (µg/216 L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyoxylic acid</td>
<td>1193.75</td>
<td>285.61</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>273.05</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>727.50</td>
<td>123.23</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>123.23</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>7177.08</td>
<td>697.48</td>
<td>33.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>697.48</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2. Results from Particle Deposition Measurements Using the P.R.I.T. ExpoCube Under Thermophoresis Conditions with Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Aerosols and Different Aerosol Mean Particle Sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDS concentrations (%)</th>
<th>Particle size (nm)</th>
<th>No. of technical replicate in ExpoCube®</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD (%) of mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>27.30</td>
<td>32.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>923</td>
<td>44.16</td>
<td>39.78</td>
<td>45.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>1707</td>
<td>46.22</td>
<td>51.03</td>
<td>48.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDS, sodium dodecyl sulfate.
following heating procedure. This raises the question for relevance of inhalation toxicology from the perspective of occupational safety. As reviewed in the Introduction, risk assessment as it is typically performed for regulatory purposes such as chemical registration and authorization under Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals,\textsuperscript{32} authorization of active substances as biocides under Biocidal Products Regulation,\textsuperscript{33} and compounds used in cosmetics under cosmetics product regulation\textsuperscript{2} is impossible here due to the physicochemical behavior of the aerosol, lacking inhalation toxicology data and unknown toxicology of mixtures.

The aim of the present study was to generate relevant aerosols as close as possible to the real situation, expose human cells toward these aerosols, measure biological effects of exposure, and include a concept that might indicate the relevance of detected effects for the human inhalation situation. As a first step, effects of interest are focused on acute local effects in the lung in a worst-case scenario.

To enable a relevant exposure situation, the method for generation of the aerosol from hair treatments included all steps executed by the hairdresser. The aerosol was generated in a box optimized to sample under conditions as representative as possible for \textit{in vitro} testing. As depicted in Figure 1 and according to results from CFD modeling, the extraction rate of aerosol particles from the optimized AE-Box was much more homogenous for different particle sizes than from a standard cubic box. Hence, a more representative effect of different particle sizes can be derived rather than emphasizing effects of smaller particles by a potentially higher extraction rate using a common cubic box. Also, by starting the cell exposure procedure already during generation of the aerosol (Fig. 5), a capture of native, “fresh” aerosol particles is enabled, which especially might have significance for inhalation toxicology.

The delivery of particles from the AE-Box to the cells was validated by use of one of the positive controls in an analytical experimental setting. Mixtures of SDS and fluorescein sodium were nebulized. Evaporation within the AE-Box resulted in dry particle aerosols, which were applied under the conditions of cell exposures to culture membranes. Fluorescence analysis from the culture wells enabled validation of the deposition and exact deposition rates could be derived for different particle sizes covering the size ranges of the test aerosols from hair treatments (Tables 2 and 3). By comparing the present results from deposition analyses with historical data (Fig. 8), a good consistency was found. Data documented high deposition rates around 35%, which were relatively independent on particle sizes between 400 and 1600 nm. Larger particles resulted in higher deposition rates by additional gravitational effects with increasing particle sizes. On one hand, these results

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Mean Particle Sizes of the Different Aerosols Used in This Study and Deposition Rates Calculated from the Deposition Calibration Curve as Depicted in Figure 8}
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline
Aerosol & Generated from sol. (%) & Particle size (nm) & Deposition rate \\
& & MW & SD & n & \% \\
\hline
Lactose & 10.0 & 1401 & 144 & 7 & 34.2 \\
Lactose & 20.0 & 1765 & 311 & 6 & 43.9 \\
CuSO\textsubscript{4} & 5.0 & 904 & 53 & 4 & 36.2 \\
CuSO\textsubscript{4} & 10.0 & 1145 & 2 & 6 & 35.4 \\
SDS & 0.1 & 446 & 86 & 6 & 28.8 \\
SDS & 2.0 & 923 & 139 & 5 & 36.1 \\
SDS & 10.0 & 1707 & 204 & 6 & 41.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Time point of analysis during cell exposure}
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline
Time point of analysis & Start & 796 & 49 & 6 \\
One hair treatment & End & 560 & 42 & 6 \\
One hair treatment & Mean & 680 & 41 & 6 \\
Five or 10 hair treatments & Start\textsuperscript{a} & 953 & 36.3 \\
Five or 10 hair treatments & End & 850 & 86 & 3 \\
Five or 10 hair treatments & Mean & 901 & 36.19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a}Calculated value from end measurement. Particle size could not be analyzed directly due to high concentration. CuSO\textsubscript{4}, copper-II-sulfate.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics{figure8}
\caption{Plot of the data from size distribution measurements against historical data (h.d.) from our laboratory using the P.R.I.T. ExpoCube in different test setups under thermophoresis conditions. Fitting of the data resulted in a calibration curve used for calculation of particle deposition rates from aerosol concentrations.}
\end{figure}
validate the delivery of particles onto the cellular surfaces during exposure, and on the other hand they were used to quantify exactly the deposited amount during exposure from concentration data to evaluate the cellular surface particle load.

With respect to the choice of positive/negative substances, both gaseous and particulate airborne compounds had principally been suitable due to the nature of the aerosol generated from hair treatment as being composed of a complex gaseous phase and a complex particulate phase. For two main reasons it was decided in this study to focus on particulate aerosols as controls. In the first instance and from the point of aerosol characteristics, more information is present about the composition of the gaseous phase of the aerosol from hair treatment than about the particulate phase. Main components of the gaseous phase such as glyoxylic acid or formaldehyde can be chemically analyzed and their potential toxicity can be estimated by safety assessment procedures. Since its quantitative and also qualitative composition is largely unknown, this is not true for the particulate phase of the test aerosol. Therefore, more information is needed on the behavior of comparable test particles in the experimental setup used as a basis for classification of the total aerosol. Second, the experimental handling of airborne particles demands for a much higher control of experimental parameters such as the basic experimental concept, flows, fluid mechanics, particle sizes, and losses. Therefore, a control of the behavior of particles in an experimental aerosol setup is highly valued for its characterization.

To identify the cellular susceptibility and sensitivity toward relevant effects from inhalable compounds, the in vitro inhalation model was characterized using three control substances. Lactose was used as negative substance. Lactose is widely in use as carrier in pharmacology and also in inhalation relevant applications. SDS is a known mild toxicant and irritant, and CuSO₄, both of which were applied as positive controls. Knowledge about human effects of these substances during inhalation is limited, although CuSO₄ is clearly known to have relevant effects, based on epidemiologic data from vineyard workers. The so-called Bordeaux-solution is in use as a fungicide and is made responsible for effects on inhalation such as interstitial pulmonary lesions and fibro-hyaline nodules very similar to those found in silicosis. Hence, both substances can be classified as positive controls in this in vitro inhalation model both for induction of cell toxicity and irritancy.

With respect to the biological test system, a compromise had to be found between availability and applicability in routine testing and a maximum relevance for the real situation in human. A549 cells represent a robust test system with an origin from the alveolar region of the human lung. As far as it is deducible from the results of this study, it seems reasonable using this single-cell system to reflect basic principles of biological effects as they were reproducibly induced with high significance for the positive and negative control substances.

As an endpoint for cell toxicity, a tetrazolium salt-based assay (WST-1) was carried out. Since the establishment of these assays for in vitro studies by Mosmann, they have been widely in use because of reproducibility, simple use, and meaningfulness of results by representing pathways of the cellular mitochondrial metabolism. IL-8 secretion by A549 cells was chosen as a parameter for induction of irritative changes. IL-8 is a well-known chemokine involved in molecular pathways connected to irritative responses toward substances. However, if more detailed information about cellular metabolism, pathways of toxicity, or adverse outcome pathways (AOPs) is under interest for a deeper toxicological characterization, or to cover multiple molecular initiating events (MIEs) under discussion, the application of primary cells and endpoints such as gene expression analysis, metabolomics, or other methods might be applied in the future. This might also be carried out taking into account specific MIEs of known constituents of the aerosol. Although there is no scientific consensus on the AOP and MIEs of formaldehyde, this could be considered, for example, by analysis of DNA adducts, DNA-DNA or DNA-protein cross-links in the present case.

Exposures toward control substances in this inhalation model induced distinct effects between positive and negative substances with respect to cellular cytotoxicity. Whereas lactose did not induce significant cytotoxic effects up to the highest concentration tested (near 1 g/m³), dry particle aerosols from SDS or copper sulfate induced dose-dependent toxic effects at a tenth of this concentration or below. With respect to the induction of IL-8, three different characteristics were found. For lactose, a slight concentration-dependent increase was found starting at concentrations of about 50 mg/m³ up to 140% of control exposures (clean air) at the highest lactose concentration. SDS already induced IL-8 at the lowest concentration tested (below 1 mg/m³) to 175% compared to clean air exposures with a constant, concentration-independent increase.
but only at nontoxic concentrations. In contrast to this, copper sulfate induced concentration-dependent increase of IL-8 up to values near 400% of control, but independently on copper sulfate toxicity.

From these results we conclude that the basic in vitro inhalation model had been successfully established. Particles are delivered from the aerosol phase to the cellular surface at a substantial and reproducible rate and the susceptibility, responsiveness, and specificity of cellular response could be shown by use of relevant negative and positive control substances.

The generation of aerosols from hair treatments could be carried out under conditions very close to the real application of the hair straightener product by professional hairdressers. Using real hair, all steps in the procedure could be included. Moreover, by carrying out the procedure with sequential hair treatments, three scenarios were introduced, including the processing of 1, 5, or 10 strands of hair in a row. Results from chemical analysis of glyoxylic acid, formaldehyde, and particle mass documented that the aerosol concentrations increased reproducibly with the increasing number of hair treatments in a row in this experimental setup. However, since concentrations did not double from the 5 to the 10 hair scenario, it was concluded that these high concentrations (near 200 µg/m³) already enabled particle interactions, and thus, the 10 hair scenario was defined as the highest technical possible concentration with relevance for reality in a worst-case scenario.

Using A549 cells in this in vitro inhalation model, small, non-significant, changes were found after exposure toward aerosols from 5 and 10 hair treatments with respect to viability. IL-8 secretion was induced only after exposure toward hair aerosol in the five hair strands scenario. To evaluate the relevance of these results, they were quantitatively evaluated on a concentration and cellular surface load basis.

Conclusion

The study displays the present possibilities in acute local lung toxicity testing of aerosols in vitro and the potential to further evaluate biological effects from inhalable complex aerosols from consumer products, environment, or workplace atmospheres. Positive and negative controls with relevance for human inhalation were applied under a highly relevant testing regimen for the test aerosol and induced dose-dependent and significant effects. The test item was used in a relevant procedure, and an optimized experimental setup was developed to sample as much as possible constituents of the aerosol released from the product. By the concurrent testing of relevant controls and surface-based dosimetry, a basis is given for a toxicity ranking from in vitro to in vivo. In the first exemplary application with a hair straightening agent, the in vitro inhalation model characterized the responses toward the test item as less harmful with respect to acute toxicity and irritative potential in comparison to the positive controls, SDS and copper sulfate. Only small, nonsignificant effects of these aerosols were found in comparison to negative control situations with respect to IL-8 secretion in a certain experimental situation (five hair treatment). Since such effects were also found at high cellular surface loads with lactose as a negative control, it might be an advanced task in the future to evaluate if nonsubstance-specific effects such as the presence of high particle masses or particle surfaces may be responsible for incidents such as this in a cell-based in vitro inhalation model. Although it seems unlikely that the respective exposure concentrations are relevant for the acute real environmental human exposure situation during a working day due to a worst-case scenario, further studies might evaluate if these results have also an implication for the respective risk assessment.

In summary, the study renders the established testing scenario as a valuable tool to get insight into biological effects of inhalable material. No significant effects of the test item were found under this testing regimen. By the combination
of relevant procedures from the fields of aerosol generation, cell exposure, cell culture, cellular readout technologies, and risk assessment, an efficient basis is defined for continuing application to other test materials and consumer products.
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